

Supply Base Report: Grand River Pellets, Limited

Scope Change Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.5

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see <u>www.sbp-cert.org</u>

Document history

- Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015
- Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016
- Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016
- Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020
- Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020
- Version 1.5 published 11 November 2022

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2020

Contents

- 1 Overview
- 2 Description of the Supply Base
- 2.1 General description
- 2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base
- 2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier
- 2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base
- 3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation
- 4 Supply Base Evaluation
- 4.1 Scope
- 4.2 Justification
- 4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme
- 4.4 Conclusion
- 5 Supply Base Evaluation process
- 6 Stakeholder consultation
- 6.1 Response to stakeholder comments
- 7 Mitigation measures
- 7.1 Mitigation measures
- 7.2 Monitoring and outcomes
- 8 Detailed findings for indicators
- 9 Review of report
- 9.1 Peer review
- 9.2 Public or additional reviews
- 10 Approval of report
- Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators
- Annex 2: Detailed findings for REDII

1 Overview

Producer name:	Grand River Pellets, Limited	
Producer address:	300 Union Street, E2L 4Z2 Saint John, Canada	
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-04-41	
Geographic position:	47.188100, -67.928700	
Primary contact:	Maurice Fournier, +1 506 423 8477,fournier.maurice@jdirving.com	
Company website:	https://www.jdirving.com/	
Date report finalised:	05 Apr 2024	
Close of last CB audit:	05 Apr 2024	
Name of CB:	SCS Global Services	
SBP Standard(s) used:	SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock	
Weblink to Standard(s) used:	https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards	
SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: Not applicable		

Weblink to SBR on Company website: N/A

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations					
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance	Re- assessment

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Primary, Secondary, Tertiary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Includes REDII: Yes

Includes REDII SBE: Yes

Feedstock origin (countries): Canada, United States

2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country:Canada

Area/Region: New Brunswick / Quebec

Sub-Scope: N/A

Exclusions: No

Grand River Pellets Supply Base in Canada consists of the following;

- J. D. Irving, Limited owned land in New Brunswick, Canada
- New Brunswick, Canada crown land
- · New Brunswick, Canada private land
- Quebec, Canada private land

J.D. Irving, Limited owned land and New Brunswick Crown Land is managed to achieve economic, social, environmental and ecological objectives. The forest has been zoned into the general forest, where timber production is a primary objective, and special management zones including unique sites, deer wintering areas, and mapped riparian zones, each of which has specific environmental, habitat or social objectives. The Supply Base is within the Acadian Forest Region and consists of spruce, balsam fir, cedar, maple, birch and poplar. Adjacent lands are similar in forest composition and land use structure. Two other forest based industries (pulp mills) in the area would operate under a similar scale of harvesting.

Forest Management practices are similar on crown land and large freehold land. They consist of 80-year rotation forest management plans that ensure sustainability of the wood supply for local mills. Annual operating plans are implemented to meet the forest management plan objectives. Third Party audited Forest Certification is used to ensure economic, environmental and social principles are met. Private land harvesting is managed through Marketing boards that provide woodlot management advice, and plans, to private woodlot owners.

The bioenergy sector is a minor portion of the harvested timber in the region.

We have three product group in this region (Sawdust, Shavings & Wood Chips). We have 2 suppliers for wood chips, 6 suppliers for sawdust & 2 suppliers for shavings. These suppliers include J.D. Irving.

From a socio-economic standpoint, J.D. Irving is the major employer in the region and contributes to the economic development by purchasing goods and services from local providers; also, J.D. Irving contributes to local sports and events by donating material & creating events to fund local organizations.

Country: United States

Area/Region: Maine

Sub-Scope: N/A

Exclusions: No

Grand River Pellets Supply Base in the USA consists of the following;

- J. D. Irving, Limited owned land in Northern Maine, USA
- · Maine, USA private land

J. D. Irving, Limited owned in Maine is managed to achieve economic, social, environmental and ecological objectives. The forest has been zoned into the general forest, where timber production is a primary objective, and special management zones including unique sites, deer wintering areas, and mapped riparian zones, each of which has specific environmental, habitat or social objectives.

The Supply Base is within the Maine Forest Region and consists of spruce, balsam fir, cedar, maple, birch and poplar. Adjacent lands are similar in forest composition and land use structure. Other forest based industries (Sawmills) in the area would operate under a similar scale of harvesting.

Forest Management practices consist of 80-year rotation forest management plans that ensure sustainability of the wood supply for local mills. Annual operating plans are implemented to meet the forest management plan objectives. Third Party audited Forest Certification is used to ensure economic, environmental and social principles are met. Private land harvesting is managed by private landowners and their contractors.

The bioenergy sector is a minor portion of the harvested timber in the region.

We have three product group in this region (Sawdust, Shavings & Wood Chips). We do not have any suppliers for wood chips from this region, 4 suppliers for sawdust & 1 suppliers for shavings. These suppliers include J.D. Irving.

From a socio-economic standpoint, J.D. Irving is the major employer in the region and contributes to the economic development by purchasing goods and services from local providers; also, J.D. Irving contributes to local sports and events by donating material & creating events to fund local organizations.

2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

SBP feedstock is obtained through SBP - approved Chain of Custody Certification System (SFI) and SBP compliant.

2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply Base

- a. Total Supply Base area (million ha): 8.20
- b. Tenure by type (million ha):5.00 (Privately owned), 3.20 (Public)
- c. Forest by type (million ha):8.20 (Temperate)
- d. Forest by management type (million ha):2.00 (Managed natural), 6.20 (Natural)
- e. Certified forest by scheme (million ha):5.00 (SFI), 0.80 (FSC)

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: Mix of the above **Explanation:** The dominant machines use are cut to length harvesters and forwarders. Thinning is used to manage long lived species and young natural and planted stands. Clear felling is used to manage short lived species that are mature.

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? Yes - Majority

Explanation: Harvesting in the supply base area is primarily to feed sawmills. Chips from the sawmills are delivered to pulp mills.

For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? Yes - Majority

Explanation: The supply base area produces abundant natural regeneration after harvest. SFI certification requires sites to be regenerated after 5 years of felling.

Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? Yes - Minority

Explanation: We are recuperating the tree tops after the sawlogs and pulp wood have been harvested that would have been going to waste otherwise.

What is the estimated amount of REDII-compliant sustainable feedstock that could be harvested annually in a Supply Base (estimated): 500000.00 tonnes Explanation:Green tonnes

Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Jun 2022

Reporting period to: 31 May 2023

- a. Total volume of Feedstock: 200,000-400,000 tonnes
- b. Volume of primary feedstock: 1-200,000 tonnes
- c. List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.
 - Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 80% 100%
 - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0%
- d. List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name: Abies balsamea (Balsam Fir); Picea rubens (Red Spruce); Picea glauca (White Spruce); Picea mariana (Black Spruce); Picea abies (Norway Spruce); Pinus banksiana (Jack Pine); Pinus strobus (White Pine); Pinus resinosa (Red Pine); Larix laricina (Tamarack); Tsuga canadensis (Hemlock); Thuja occidentalis (Eastern White

Pine); Acer saccharinum (Sugar Maple); Acer rubrum (Red Maple); Acer pensylvanicum (Striped Birch); Betula alleghaniensis (Yellow Birch); Betula papyrifera (White Birch); Betula populifolia (Grey Birch); Fagus grandifolia (Beech); Quercus rubra (Red Oak); Quercus macrocarpa (Bur Oak); Populus tremuloides (Trembling Aspen); Populus balsamifera (Balsam Poplar); Populus grandidentata (Large Tooth Aspen); Ostrya virginiana (Irondwood);

- e. Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species? No
 - Name of species: N/A
 - Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%):
- f. Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%): 8.88
- g. Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%): 91.12
- h. Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%): 0
- i. Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs: Small end diameter greater than 10.6 centimeters.
- j. Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%): 0.00
- k. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0 N/A
- I. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 1% - 19%
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0%
- m. Volume of secondary feedstock: 1-200,000 tonnes
 - Physical form of the feedstock: Chips, Sawdust
- n. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 1-200,000 tonnes
 - Physical form of the feedstock: Offcuts, Shavings, Sawdust (dry)
- o. Estimated amount of REDII-compliant sustainable feedstock that could be collected annually by the BP: 500000.00tonnes

Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period				
Feedstock type	Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) %	FSC %	PEFC %	SFI %
Primary	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Secondary	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Tertiary	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

Note: Annex 1 is generated by the system if the SBE is used without Region Risk Assessment(s). Annex 2 is generated if RED II SBE is in the scope.

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

N/A

Is REDII SBE completed? Yes

The SBE is specifically for RED II compliance; limited in scope to only consider primary feedstock we are using for pellet production.

4 Supply Base Evaluation

Note: Annex 2 is generated if RED II is in the scope.

4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: Primary

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Not applicable

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

Country: Canada

Indicator with specified risk in the risk assessment used: 1.1.1 The BP Supply Base is defined and mapped.

Specific risk description:

The supply base is SFI 2022 Forest Management Standard certified.

4.2 Justification

SFI 2022 FOREST MANAGEMENT STANDARD: SECTION 2

1.1 SCOPE

What the Forest Management Standard Does

The SFI 2022 Forest Management Standard promotes sustainable forestry based on 13 Principles, 17 Objectives, 41 Performance Measures and 114

Indicators. These requirements include measures to protect water quality, biodiversity, wildlife habitat, threatened and endangered species and Forests

with Exceptional Conservation Value.

What the Forest Management Standard Covers

The SFI 2022 Forest Management Standard applies to any Certified Organization that owns or has management authority for forestlands.

Geographic Application of the Forest Management Standard

The SFI 2022 Forest Management Standard applies to organizations in the United States and Canada.

4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification

Programme

na

4.4 Conclusion

During the last SFI/ISO 14001 it was concluded that:

Good Practices

The following good practices were noted during the audit:

□ In relation to SFI Forest Management Objective 2 and ISO 14001 Element 8.1, the districts are using new operational methods and equipment to enhance operational efficiencies and work quality (e.g., use of tethered forwarder in Blackbrook on License 9; adjustments to trenching patterns in Blackbrook on Freehold; use of mechanical planting in CNB; and use of selfsteering trenchers for mechanical site preparation in CNB and Deersdale).

□ In relation to SFI Forest Management Objective 2 and ISO 14001 Element 8.1, SNB has developed site specific regeneration prescriptions which delays application of pesticides to gain a better understanding on the type and amount of competitive regeneration; the amount of 2023 SFI and ISO 14001 Audit Report

J.D. Irving Limited

October 11, 2023

Page 5

pesticide used is typically reduced by significant amounts (~30%) based on the information gained (SNB).

□ In relation to SFI Forest Management Objective 2 and ISO 14001 Element 8.1, improvements have been made in the road network, which has significantly improved water management (SG).

□ In relation to SFI Forest Management Objectives' 3, 4 and 5 and ISO 14001 Element 8.1, the field audit observed notable examples of unique features and areas being identified and protected (e.g., the expansion of a unique area originally established for aesthetic purposes to capture rare plant habitat and provide for deer movement (Blackbrook Freehold); the maintenance of a treed buffer between a vernal pool and riparian zone (Maine); the protection of nest sites / cavity trees (Maine, NS, SNB, Deersdale) and bear dens (CNB).

In relation to SFI Forest Management Objective 9 and ISO 14001 Element 8.1, several newly installed culverts have been increased in size to deal with future flooding events. Staff have indicated that they are experiencing less issues because of the use of the larger culverts (SNB).
 In relation to SFI Forest Management Objective 12, proposed and recently implemented JDI research activities are well focused on important wildlife/biodiversity information needs (Corp).

□ In relation to SFI Forest Management Objective 13 and ISO 14001 Element 7.2, operators, who were interviewed during the field audit of active operations, were found to be using tablet data to operate the blocks more efficiently (SNB, CNB).

□ In relation to SFI Forest Management Objective 14 and ISO 14001 Element 7.4.3, districts were found to work with stakeholders to reflect their interests in planning and operational decisions (e.g., altered harvesting timings and protected wildlife viewing areas (SNB); segments of roads being built or upgraded to connect existing ATV trails / networks and a treed buffer maintained to protect snowmobile trails (Maine)).

Opportunities for improvement

No opportunities for improvement were identified during the audit.

5 Supply Base Evaluation process

na

6 Stakeholder consultation

na

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

7 Mitigation measures

7.1 Mitigation measures

Country: Canada

Specified risk indicator: 1.1.1 The BP Supply Base is defined and mapped.

Specific risk description: The supply base is SFI 2022 Forest Management Standard certified.

Mitigation measure:

na

7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

na

8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? Yes

9 Review of report

9.1 Peer review

na

9.2 Public or additional reviews

na

10 Approval of report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management				
Report Prepared by:	Maurice Fournier	Quality / Certification Manager	05 Apr 2024	
	Name	Title	Date	
The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.				
Report approved by:	Nicholas MacGougan	General Manager	05 Apr 2024	
	Name	Title	Date	

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

N/A

Annex 2: Detailed findings for REDII Section 1. RED II Supply Base Evaluation

Country:Canada		
(i) The legality of harvesting operations		
Type of Risk Assessment	□ Level A – proof at national or sub-national level	
used	Level B – management system at forest sourcing area level	
Level A risk assessment description	N/A	
Level B management system at the level of the forest sourcing area	The legality of harvesting operations within the primary feedstock supply base is managed through the SFI and ISO 14001 management systems. The supply base is third party audited each year to ensure that SFI Forest Management and ISO 14001 criterions are met. This ensures that feedstock complies with all applicable laws and regulations. Examples of items verified during the audit include land ownership maps, tenure records, environmental performance and records, adherence to health and safety regulations, working conditions, adherence to forest products chain of custody requirements. GRP has implemented and is committed to maintain the mass balance requirements.	
(ii) Forest regeneration of h	arvested areas	
Type of Risk Assessment used	 Level A – proof at national or sub-national level Level B – management system at forest sourcing area level 	
Level A risk assessment description	N/A	
Level B management system at the level of the forest sourcing area	The forest regeneration of harvested areas in the primary feedstock Supply Base is certified under SFI & ISO 14001 management standards and audited by a competent auditor yearly. The SFI audit ensures reforestation plans are in place, prompt reforestation after final harvesting is completed, Harvest areas are planted within two years or two planting seasons, or by planned natural regeneration methods within five years. These results are audited in the field by the third party auditor.	
(iii) That areas designated by international or national law or by the relevant competent authority for nature protection purposes, including in wetlands and peatlands, are protected unless evidence is provided that the harvesting of that raw material does not interfere with those nature protection purposes		

Type of Bick Accomment	Level A – proof at national or sub-national level	
used		
	□ Level B – management system at forest sourcing area level	
Level A risk assessment	Ν/Δ	
description		
	The New-Brunswick "Protected Natural Areas Act"; was established to	
Level B management	legally protect natural reserves in the province. The areas are protected	
forest sourcing area	against all forms of development, including construction, road building,	
	forestry operations and mining. There are no Natural Protected Area in our primary feedstock Supply Base area	
(iv) That harvesting is carri	ed out considering the maintenance of soil quality and biodiversity with	
the aim of minimising nega	tive impacts	
	Level A – proof at national or sub-national level	
Type of Risk Assessment		
used	Level B – management system at forest sourcing area level	
Level A risk assessment	N/A	
	Harvesting is carried out considering the maintenance of soil quality and	
	14001 certified. As a certified organization, are required to demonstrate	
	they are following practices to protect and maintain forest and soil	
	productivity & health. These include Standard Operating Procedures	
	specifically designed to maintain soil quality & biodiversity within the	
	primary leedstock Supply Base.	
Level B management		
system at the level of the	Our voluntary and award-winning conservation program entitled "Unique	
forest sourcing area	Areas Program" has grown from 29 sites in the 1980s to over 2,200 sites	
	provides training to staff so that they may recognize biodiversity hotspots	
	within our land base and establish conservation areas to retain those	
	important ecological features as well as places of historic/cultural	
	importance. Just within the Northern New Brunswick region alone, our	
	protecting features such as rare plant sites, large stick nests, vernal pools	
	hosting uncommon salamanders and frogs as well as complex wetlands	
	and old forest stands.	
(v) That harvesting maintains or improves the long-term production capacity of the forest.		
Turne of Disk Assessment	□ Level A – proof at national or sub-national level	
used		
	Level B – management system at forest sourcing area level	
Level A risk assessment	N/A	
description		
Level B management	The SFI certification audit ensures that the primary feedstock Supply Base	
forest sourcing area	is managed to maintain or improve the long term production capacity of the	
	forest. There are documented reforestation plans, The growing stock is	

	monitored and managed actively. Round wood is harvested under various prescriptions ranging from final harvests, shelter woods, selected harvests, and commercial thinning. The lands are regenerated promptly or remained stocked after harvest for the long term forest productivity.
LULUCF criteria 29(7)	
Type of Risk Assessment used	 Level A – proof at national or sub-national level Level B – management system at forest sourcing area level
Level A risk assessment description	SBP-endorsed REDII Level A risk assessment for Article 29(7) LULUCF
Level B management system at the level of the forest sourcing area	N/A

Section 2. RED II detailed findings for secondary and tertiary feedstock

10.1 Verification and monitoring of suppliers

Each load received is weighed and recorded at a certified scale. A scale ticket is produced with a unique number. The scale ticket contains the origin, product, destination & weight. We have a database that registers all loads along with the origin's information (name, location, type of supplier). A report can be generated at any time to verify, and monitor deliveries. In the event that there is an error on the documentation, it is addressed by investigating the proper information and corrected in the database. If a load does not meet the RED II definition of secondary/tertiary feedstock after inspection, it is segregated and then returned to the supplier.

10.2 Feedstock inspection and classification upon receipt

The feedstock being delivered is visually inspected by the loader operator and stored accordingly. In the event that a load does not meet the visual inspection, it is segregated for further inspection by the quality manager where it will be decided what is the proper course of action. The materiel is tested for moisture and registered in our quality database. The scale ticket & numbers are registered in the scale system.

10.3 Supplier audit for secondary and tertiary feedstock

25% of the secondary and tertiary feedstock suppliers were audited. All feedstock was REDII compliant.